IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MARJORIE HYPPOLITE,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) C.A. No. 05-CV-11011 (MLW/LTS)
ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORPORATION,) JOINT STATEMENT PURSUANT) TO LOCAL RULE 16.1
Defendant.)

Pursuant to Local Rule 16.1 of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts and in compliance with the Court's Order of August 12, 2005, Plaintiff Marjorie Hyppolite and Defendant Electronic Data Systems Corporation (collectively, the "Parties") hereby submit the following Statement in the above-captioned action.

I. PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN

A. Fact Depositions

The Parties propose that all written discovery and fact depositions be completed by January 9, 2006. The Parties agree to limit the number of fact depositions to ten (10) depositions for each side, pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(C). Any party that wishes to take more than ten (10) depositions may not do so without permission of the Court. The limit on depositions set forth above shall not prevent any party from seeking a protective order, where appropriate, to preclude or limit any particular deposition.

B. Expert Depositions

In addition to the fact depositions, the Parties may also take expert depositions if necessary. Disclosure of expert witnesses shall be in accordance with applicable rules.

C. Written Discovery

The Parties agree to comply with the discovery event limitations set forth in Local Rule 26.1(C).

II. PRE-TRIAL SCHEDULE/SCHEDULE FOR FILING MOTIONS

The Parties propose the following pre-trial schedule:

- 1. Amend pleadings and add parties by November 9, 2005.
- 2. Plaintiff's Expert Report to be filed by February 9, 2006.
- 3. Defendant's Expert Report to be filed by March 9, 2006.
- 4. All expert discovery to be completed and all challenges to expert designations to be filed by March 30, 2006.
 - 5. All fact discovery to be completed by January 9, 2006.
 - 6. All dispositive motions to be filed by April 28, 2006.
- 7. A Pre-Trial Conference to be held, if appropriate, twenty-one (21) days after a decision on any Rule 56 motion.

III. <u>CERTIFICATIONS</u>

The Parties' certifications pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(D)(3) are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

IV. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(B)(3), Defendant does not agree to proceed before a Magistrate Judge on any matter in this action.

V. <u>CERTIFICATION OF FILING OF INITIAL DISCLOSURES</u>

The Parties certify that they will serve their Initial Disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil procedure 26(a)(1) on September 7, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David Green

David Green, Esq.
Attorneys@alfordandbertrand.com
Alford & Bertrand, LLC
60 Arsenal Street
Post Office Box 322
Watertown, MA 02471-0322
(617) 926-8800
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Marjorie Hyppolite

/s/ Leah M. Moore

Joseph P. McConnell (BBO 566412) Leah M. Moore (BBO 658217) jmcconnell@morganbrown.com Morgan, Brown & Joy LLP 200 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 (617) 523-6666 (telephone) (617) 367-3125 (facsimile)

Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Martin T. Wymer (OH0004004) mwymer@bakerlaw.com
Kelly M. King (OH0076613) kking@bakerlaw.com
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
3200 National City Center
1900 East Ninth Street
Cleveland, OH 44114-3485
(216) 621-0200 (telephone)
(216) 696-0740 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendant Electronic Data Systems Corporation

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MARJORIE HYPPOLITE,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) C.A. No. 05-CV-11011 (MLW/LTS)
ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORPORATION,) LOCAL RULE 16.1(D)(3)) CERTIFICATION
Defendant.)

Pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(D)(3), the undersigned hereby certify that the Parties and their counsel have conferred:

- with a view to establishing a budget for the costs of conducting the full (a) course—and various alternative courses—of the litigation; and
- to consider the resolution of the litigation through the use of alternative (b) dispute resolution programs such as those outlined in Local Rule 16.4.

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT
/s/ Marjorie Hyppolite Plaintiff	/s/ Karie Dalton Electronic Data Systems Corporation Defendant
/s/ David Green Attorney for Plaintiff	/s/ Martin T. Wymer Attorney for Defendant